

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/642,697	08/19/2003	Yuichi Sawai	500.40666CX1	2743	
20457	7590 09/03/2004		EXAM	INER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			GARRETT	GARRETT, DAWN L	
1300 NORTH SUITE 1800	H SEVENTEENTH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, VA 22209-9889		1774	· _	

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/642,697	SAWAI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dawn Garrett	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 August 2003</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 August 2003</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Trib The path of declaration is objected to by the Ex	annines. Note the attached Office	Action of form F 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/953,559.</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/953,559</u>.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-19-2003</u>.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/642,697

Art Unit: 1774

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Independent claims 1 and 6 recite "light being emitted from the hybrid material film side". It is unclear which side of the device is intended to be recited, because the hybrid film has multiple sides. Clarification and/or correction are required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ueda et al. (US 5,958,609). Ueda et al. discloses in Example 4 an organic EL device comprising a glass substrate, an ITO film (the anode), a film comprising a polysilane according to Synthesis Example 2, light emitting layer, electron transporting layer, and a cathode (see col. 22, lines 9-25). The polysilane material includes an organic portion and an inorganic portion (the silicon), which read upon the instant hybrid material film. Ueda et al. fails to teach the film includes inorganic particles per the instant claim 4 limitation of "not more than 30% by weight of inorganic particles".

Art Unit: 1774

# Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2, 3, and 5-9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The closest prior art is considered to be Ueda et al. (US 5,958,609) discussed above. Ueda et al. does teach a polysilane layer upon the anode, but fails to teach a silane with the specific groups of claims 2 and 3. Ueda et al. further fails to teach or to render obvious a hybrid film as thick as the layer recited in claim 5. Ueda et al. does not teach a multi-layered film according to claims 6-9.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dawn Garrett whose telephone number is (571)272-1523. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached at (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1774

D.G.

September 1, 2004